# CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION





Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy - Tel: (+39) 06 57051 - Fax: (+39) 06 5705 4593 - E-mail: codex@fao.org - www.codex alimentarius.org

Agenda Item 2

MAS/36 CRD/7
Original Language Only

# JOINT FAO/WHO FOOD STANDARDS PROGRAMME CODEX COMMITTEE ON METHODS OF ANALYSIS AND SAMPLING

36<sup>th</sup> Session

Budapest, Hungary, 23 – 27 February 2015
MATTERS REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE
Codex Strategic Plan (2014-2019)

(Comments of EU, Kenya)

#### EU

Strategic Goal	Objective	Activity	Expected	Measurable
			Outcome	Indicators/Outputs
1: Establish international food standards that address current and emerging food issues.	1.1: Establish new and review existing Codex standards, based on priorities of the CAC	1.1.1: Consistently apply decision-making and priority-setting criteria across Committees to ensure that the standards and work areas of highest priority are progressed in a timely manner.	New or updated standards are developed in a timely manner	<ul> <li>Priority setting criteria are reviewed, revised as required and applied.</li> <li># of standards revised and # of new standards developed based on these criteria.</li> </ul>

# Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. This activity is relevant to all Codex Committees including the CCMAS.

Does the Committee use any specific criteria for standards development?

No, the Committee applies the priority setting criteria laid down in Procedural Manual, section on Criteria for the establishment of work priorities, and the decision-making criteria for the development of standards and guidelines laid down in this manual, particularly in the section 'procedures for the elaboration of Codex standards and related texts'.

Does the Committee intend to develop such criteria?

The EUMS fail to identify any specific need that would justify specific decision-making and priority-setting criteria for the work of CCMAS and suggest to continue to refer to the general ones laid down in the Procedural Manual.

The EUMS consider that the Committee should ensure that the provisions included in the relevant parts of the Procedural Manual are strictly applied and that no proposal for new work is submitted to the CAC if this has not been the case.

1.2: Proactive	ely 1.2.1: Develop a	Timely Codex	- Committees implement
identify emergissues and M needs and, w appropriate, develop relev	ging systematic approach to promote identification of emerging issues	response to emerging issues and to the needs of	systematic approaches for identification of emerging issues Regular reports on
food standard	-	Mombole.	systematic approach and emerging issues made to the CCEXEC through the Codex Secretariat.

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes.

How does the Committee identify emerging issues and members needs? Is there a systematic approach? Is it necessary to develop such an approach?

Emerging issues can be reported by the members directly to the CCMAS or to other Committees which then report specific issues relating to methods of analysis and sampling to the CCMAS. The Inter-Agency Meeting also proposes emerging issues to be dealt within CCMAS. This process then leads to the revision or the development of standards and Guidelines. Unless there is evidence of some failure in this process, the EUMS do not see benefits in the development of a systematic approach for the CCMAS.

		1.2.2: Develop and revise international and regional standards as needed, in response to needs identified by Members and in response to factors that affect food safety, nutrition and fair practices in the food trade.	Improved ability of Codex to develop standards relevant to the needs of its Members.	Input from committees identifying and prioritizing needs of Members.      Report to CCEXEC from committees on how standards developed address the needs of the Members as part of critical review process.
Included in ques	stion to 1.2.			
2: Ensure the application of risk analysis principles in the development of Codex standards.	2.1: Ensure consistent use of risk analysis principles and scientific advice.	2.1.1: Use the scientific advice of the joint FAO/WHO expert bodies to the fullest extent possible in food safety and nutrition standards development based on the "Working Principles of Risk Analysis for Application in the Framework of the Codex Alimentarius".	Scientific advice consistently taken into account by all relevant committees during the standard setting process.	# of times the need for scientific advice is: - identified, - requested and, - utilized in a timely manner.

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

No

Does the committee request scientific advice in course of its work, how often does it request such advice?

Does the committee always use the scientific advice, if not, why not?

	2.1.2: Encourage engagement of scientific and technical expertise of Members and their representatives in the development of Codex standards.	Increase in scientific and technical experts at the national level contributing to the development of Codex standards.	- # of scientists and technical experts as part of Member delegations # of scientists and technical experts providing appropriate input to country positions.
--	---	--	---

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. Scientific and technical expertise is often required to justify the positions advanced by the Members.

How do members make sure that the necessary scientific input is given into country positions and that the composition of the national delegation allows to adequately present and discuss this position?

It is up to each Member to organise and manage the necessary scientific input with a view to make an informed contribution to the decision making process.

What guidance could be given by the Committee or FAO and WHO?

The EUMS do not believe that a specific guidance is needed on this point.

2.1.3: Ensure that all	Enhanced	- # of committee
relevant factors are	identification,	documents identifying all
fully considered in	and	relevant factors guiding
exploring risk	documentation	risk management
management options	of all relevant	recommendations.
in the context of Codex standard development.	factors considered by committees during the development of Codex standards.	- # of committee documents clearly reflecting how those relevant factors were considered in the context of standards development.

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. In its capacity of risk manager, the Committee should ensure that all relevant factors in exploring risk management options are considered. Furthermore, this is indeed a prerequisite for Codex standard

#### development.

How does the Committee ensure that all relevant factors have been taken into account when developing a standard and how are these documented?

Methods of analysis and sampling contribute to the management of risks, mostly in supporting the implementation of risk management decisions.

The Procedural Manual already establishes Working Principles for Risk Analysis which stipulate that risk management should follow a structured approach including preliminary risk management activities, evaluation of risk management options, monitoring and review of the decision taken. These principles requests a transparent, consistent and fully documented risk management process, and a presentation of the conclusion of the risk assessment before making final proposals or decisions on the available risk management options. The Committee should therefore recall the importance of applying consistently these principles.

2.1.4: Communicate	Risk	- # of web publication/
the risk management	management	communications relaying
recommendations to	recommendati	Codex standards.
all interested parties.	ons are effectively communicated and disseminated to all interested parties.	- # of media releases disseminating Codex standards.

# Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. However, currently this is mainly done through the publication of standards and related texts on the Codex website. The development of a communication strategy would have a positive impact on this activity.

When taking a risk management decision, does the committee give guidance to members how to communicate this decision? Would more consideration of this be helpful to members?

No. Once the communication strategy will be developed, more consideration could be given to this issue.

3: Facilitate the	3.1: Increase the	3.1.5: To the extent	Active	- Report on number of
effective	effective	possible, promote	participation of	committees and
participation of all	participation of	the use of the official	Members in	working groups using
Codex Members.	developing	languages of the	committees and	the languages of the
	countries in	Commission in	working groups.	Commission
	Codex.	committees and		
		working groups.		

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes, the promotion of effective participation of developing countries is of interest for all Committees, including CCMAS.

Is the use of official languages in working groups of the committee sufficient?

The EUMS would recommend using as many official languages of CAC as possible in WGs in order to enhance participation of members.

What are the factors determining the choice of languages?

This mainly depends on the Member chairing and co-chairing the WG.

How could the situation be improved?

A suggestion could be to promote co-hosting arrangements by countries with different languages.

3.2: Promote	3.2.3: Where	Enhancement of	# of activities hosted
capacity	practical, the use of	the opportunities	on the margins of
development	Codex meetings as a	to conduct	Codex meetings.
programs that	forum to effectively	concurrent	
assist countries in	conduct educational	activities to	
creating	and technical	maximize use of	
sustainable	capacity building	the resources of	
national Codex	activities.	Codex and	
structures.		Members.	

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes, the promotion of such capacity development programs is of interest for all Committees, including CCMAS.

Does the Committee organize technical capacity activities or other activities in the margins of Committee sessions? If yes – how many and with which topics have been organized in the past.

The EUMS believe that any capacity building activity should be coordinated by the parent organisations in order to avoid inconsistencies and duplication of work.

A number of MoniQA/IAM workshops have been organised to inform delegates about issues of high topicality, notably estimation of measurement uncertainty, method validation, proficiency testing, etc.

If no – could this be useful and what topics could be addressed?

The EUMS are open to any initiative in this area.

more prior to meetings.	4: Implement effective and efficient work management systems and practices.	4.1: Strive for an effective, efficient, transparent, and consensus based standard setting process.	4.1.4: Ensure timely distribution of all Codex working documents in the working languages of the Committee/Commission.	Codex documents distributed in a more timely manner consistent with timelines in the Procedural Manual.	- Baseline Ratio (%) established for documents distributed at least 2 months prior to versus less than 2 months prior to a scheduled meeting Factors that potentially delay the circulation of documents identified and addressed An increase in the ratio (%) of documents circulated 2 months or more prior to meetings.
-------------------------	---	---	--	---	--

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes, in particular given the technical nature of issues discussed in this Committee.

Does the Committee have a mechanism in place to ensure timely distribution of documents? What could be done to further improve the situation?

Every possible effort should be made to ensure the timely distribution of documents.

The requirement for timely distribution of documents already exists and is included in the Procedural Manual. However, all members should be more disciplined in ensuring its implementation.

4.1.5: Increase the	Improved	- # of physical working
scheduling of Work	efficiency in	group meetings in
Group meetings in	use of	conjunction with
conjunction with	resources by	committee meetings,
Committee meetings.	Codex	where appropriate.
	committees	
	and	
	Members	

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

No. The CCMAS already schedules Work Group meetings in conjunction with Committee meetings when necessary.

Does the Committee hold physical working groups independent of Committee sessions? If yes – why is this necessary?

The EUMS believe that in general the system in place today, e-working groups combined with physical working groups organised in conjunction with Committee sessions, is sufficient to ensure the efficiency of the work of the Committee. There does not seem to be any added value of working groups independent of Committee sessions, unless it is fully justified by specific needs. The EUMS are rather concerned about the additional resources that such organisation would require.

		developed to address
		such impediments, if
		necessary.

# Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes.

The EUMS strongly believe that it is essential to maintain consensus-based decision making in the framework of Codex Alimentarius. This is necessary to ensure the legitimacy, credibility and worldwide acceptance of Codex standards. The obligation to strive for consensus-based decision making is clearly spelled out in Rule XII of the Rules of Procedure of the CAC.

It is the role of the chair to explore all possible means to reach consensus before taking any final decision on progressing a standard on the basis of a vote.

Are there problems with finding consensus in the Committee? If yes – what are the impediments to consensus? What has been attempted and what more could be done?

Problems may arise in this Committee, as well as in any other Committee. All efforts should be made to ensure that all decisions of the Committee are taken on the basis of consensus, or the work should not be forwarded to the CAC.

## **KENYA**

Kenya proposes the following comments for Codex strategic plan (2014-2019)

GOAL 1: Establish international food standards that address current and emerging food issues.

OBJECTIVE: 1.1: Establish new and review existing Codex standards, based on priorities of the CAC

#### **Question to the Committee:**

- Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? YES /NO. Comment: YES.
- -Does the Committee use any specific criteria for standards development? Comment: YES.
- Does the Committee intend to develop such criteria Comment :NO it is already in place

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? YES /NO

Comment: YES.

- How does the Committee identify emerging issues and members needs? Comment: the issues that
  are raised by by the committee, other codex committee members concerning food safety and
  affecting health of consumers.
- Is there a systematic approach?- <u>Comment</u>: YES
- Is it necessary to develop such an approach? –Comment: Already there is a systematic approach in place.

GOAL 2: Ensure the application of risk analysis principles in the development of Codex standards.

# Question to the Committee:

- Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? Comment: YES ANO.
- Does the committee request scientific advice in course of its work, <u>Comment</u>: YES how often does it request such advice- <u>Comment</u>: As need arises.
- Does the committee always use the scientific advice, if not, why not? <u>Comment</u>: The committee always use the scientific advice where necessary

# 2.1.2: Encourage engagement of scientific and technical expertise of Members and their representatives in the development of Codex standards.

# **Question to the Committee:**

- Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? Comment YES /NO.
- How do members make sure that the necessary scientific input is given into country positions and that the composition of the national delegation allows to adequately present and discuss this position?
  - <u>COMMENT</u>: By making use of technical experts and the composition of technical expert is multidisciplinary.
- What guidance could be given by the Committee or FAO and WHO? <u>Comment</u>: FAO or WHO scientific advice gives clarity on establishing limits or levels of particular specifications.
- 2.1.3: Ensure that all relevant factors are fully considered in exploring risk management options in the context of Codex standard development.

# **Question to the Committee:**

- Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? Comment YES /NO.
- How does the Committee ensure that all relevant factors have been taken into account when developing a standard and how are these documented? <u>Comment</u>: All the factors are taken into accounting by consensus and they are recorded in the committee report.

# 2.1.4: Communicate the risk management recommendations to all interested parties

#### Question to the Committee:

- Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? Comment YES /NO.
- When taking a risk management decision, does the committee give guidance to members how to communicate this decision? Comment YES
- Would more consideration of this be helpful to members? <u>Comment</u> –YES, By holding workshops to
  educating consumers and members in many codex meetings, most likely one day prior to
  plenary. The information then is shared on the website for those who did not attend the
  workshops.

Goal 3: Facilitate the effective participation of all Codex Members.

## Question to the Committee:

- Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? Comment YES /NO.
- Is the use of official languages in working groups of the committee sufficient? <u>Comment</u> YES
- What are the factors determining the choice of languages? <u>Comment</u>: the demand, trade and membership to CAC. Also it depends on the issues to be discussed and the language of the experts on the subjects who initiated the work for working group.
- How could the situation be improved?- <u>Comment:</u> Case by case analysis.

# 3.2: Promote capacity development programs that assist countries in creating sustainable national Codex structures.

#### Question to the Committee:

- Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? <u>Comment</u> YES /NO.
- Does the Committee organize technical capacity activities or other activities in the margins of Committee sessions? If yes – how many <u>Comment</u>: this depends on the committee priority in each session. If no – could this be useful and what topics could be addressed?
- Implement effective and efficient work management systems and practices.

#### Question to the Committee:

- Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? <u>Comment</u> YES /NO.
- Does the Committee have a mechanism in place to ensure timely distribution of documents?-Comment:
   <u>Comment</u> YES but not all the time.
- What could be done to further improve the situation? <u>Comment</u>: The Codex secretariat of the committee to ensure timely distribution of the document by posting them on CAC website two months before the meeting.
- 4.1.5: Increase the scheduling of Work Group meetings in conjunction with Committee meetings.

# **Question to the Committee:**

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? Comment YES /NO.

Does the Committee hold physical working groups independent of Committee sessions? If yes – why is this necessary? – Comment: to fast track the process of the meeting, save time, increases the quality of the meeting and help in consensus building.

4.2: Enhance capacity to arrive at consensus in standards setting process.

# **Question to the Committee:**

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? Comment YES ANO.

Are there problems with finding consensus in the Committee? If yes <u>Comment</u>: YES- some standards progress very slowly and are in the schedule every year.

what are the impediments to consensus? What has been attempted and what more could be done?- Comment: The chair of the committee to take a stand to make decision; may be some trainings need to be offered chairmen to facilitate consensus building.